UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/736,290	12/12/2003	Warren Keith Edwards	D/A3420	4296
	7590 01/15/201 TELLECTUAL PROF	EXAMINER		
500 UNION STREET SUITE 1005 SEATTLE, WA 98101			YAARY, MICHAEL D	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2193	
		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			01/15/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/736,290	EDWARDS ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
MICHAEL YAARY	2193		

	WICHAEL TAART	2193	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 24 December 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following rapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	eplies: (1) an amendment, affidavi al (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	date of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adno event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (I MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE).	g date of the final rejection FIRST REPLY WAS FI	on. LED WITHIN TWO
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extra under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the siset forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount on tened statutory period for reply origi	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl	iance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be t	filed within two month	s of the date of
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exten Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi AMENDMENTS			e appeal. Since a
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b	ut prior to the date of filing a brief,	will not be entered be	cause
(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further con		ΓE below);	
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	**		
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett	er form for appeal by materially red	ducing or simplifying t	ne issues for
appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c	orrosponding number of finally rois	otod claims	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	orresponding number of finally reje	cied ciaims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	1 Soo attached Notice of Non Co.	mpliant Amondment (DTOL 324)
 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 		mpliant Amendment (F 10L-324).
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be alle		imely filed amendmen	at canceling the
non-allowable claim(s).	owabie ii subiliitted iii a separate, t	illiely filed afficildifier	it canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		l be entered and an e	xplanation of
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-10,13-23 and 26-28</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	bafana an an tha data of filing a Nia		
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	sufficient reasons why the affidavi	t or other evidence is	necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to obshowing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fail	s to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER			
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but See continuation sheet.		condition for allowan	ce because:
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). (13. ☐ Other:	- 1 0/36/06) Paper No(\$)		
/Lewis A. Bullock, Jr./			
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2193			

Applicant's arguments filed 12/24/2009 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that the Schmidt Chase combination does not disclose to remotely determine availability of the network service software on the service host system and to verify prerequisites against a runtime environment through the service host system, as in claim 1 and similarly in claims 14 and 28. Examiner respectfully disagrees. The combination of Schmidt and Chase teach to remotely determine availability of the network service software on the service host system and to verify prerequisites against a runtime environment through the service host system. More specifically, Schmidt (as cited in the rejection) discloses determining availability of network service software. In this case, if no appropriate JRE is found on the client system an appropriate one is found and installed. Furthermore, the limitations do not further recite more details of the checking mechanism; thus, the combination further discloses to remotely determine availability of the network service software on the service host system and to verify prerequisites against a runtime environment through the service host system.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to MICHAEL YAARY whose telephone number is (571)270-1249. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 9 a.m.-5:30 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lewis Bullock can be reached on 571-272-3759. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/M. Y./ Examiner, Art Unit 2193